


**Q1 Valuing the Cost of Solid Waste and Financial Incentives to Divert**  
 On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.


Answered: 35 Skipped: 2




	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
State-Level Legislation Requiring Pay-As-You-Throw/Variable Rates as Solid Waste Billing Method	8.57% 3	8.57% 3	17.14% 6	37.14% 13	28.57% 10	35

**Q2 Mandatory and Universal Recycling** On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.

Answered: 36 Skipped: 1






	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Mandatory Recycling for Commercial Entities	11.11% 4	2.78% 1	13.89% 5	25.00% 9	47.22% 17	36
Mandatory Recycling for both Commercial and Residential	11.11% 4	8.33% 3	5.56% 2	36.11% 13	38.89% 14	36
Universal Recycling - Which generally focuses on all solid waste collections having complementary recycling collection to include commercial and residential	2.78% 1	2.78% 1	22.22% 8	44.44% 16	27.78% 10	36
Universal Recycling - Only for Commercial Entities	8.33% 3	16.67% 6	36.11% 13	25.00% 9	13.89% 5	36

**Q3 Universal Recycling and Pay-As-You-Throw**  
 On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Universal Recycling and Pay-As-You-Throw as a joint strategy	2.78% 1	8.33% 3	11.11% 4	52.78% 19	25.00% 9	36

**Q4 In examining the different terminologies for the Universal Recycling melded together with Pay-As-You-Throw, which term resonates and makes the most sense to you? Please circle one.**


Answered: 24 Skipped: 13



Answer Choices	Responses
USAVT	41.67% 10
UPAYT	16.67% 4
PAYT	25.00% 6
S-MART	8.33% 2
USMART	8.33% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>

**Q5 Construction and Demolition Waste Demolition**  
On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Mandate 50% non-hazardous C&D waste diversion	3.03% 1	6.06% 2	24.24% 8	57.58% 19	9.09% 3	33
Ban disposal of certain non-hazardous C&D items	0.00% 0	20.00% 7	25.71% 9	34.29% 12	20.00% 7	35
Require additional disposal surcharge for nonhazardous C&D waste to encourage recycling/diversion	5.71% 2	8.57% 3	28.57% 10	34.29% 12	22.86% 8	35

**Q6 Extended Producer Responsibility** On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.

Answered: 36 Skipped: 1




	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Extended Producer Responsibility Legislation Targeting Specific Items	0.00% 0	2.78% 1	27.78% 10	30.56% 11	38.89% 14	36



**Q7 In pursuing Extended Producer Responsibility legislation, if different pieces of legislation needed to be staged, please rank from 1 to 3, with 1 being the material to target first, the order in which you would support introduction.**


Answered: 35 Skipped: 2



	1	2	3	Total	Score
Mattresses	28.57% 10	20.00% 7	51.43% 18	35	1.77
Electronics	61.76% 21	26.47% 9	11.76% 4	34	2.50
Paint	11.76% 4	52.94% 18	35.29% 12	34	1.76

**Q8 Please check other items you would be interested in seeing Extended Producer Responsibility being used to manage certain materials. Please check all that apply.**

Answered: 33 Skipped: 4





Answer Choices	Responses
Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs)	72.73% 24
Mercury-Containing Devices (e.g. thermostats, auto switches)	72.73% 24
Phone Books	27.27% 9
Carpet	66.67% 22
Pharmaceuticals	60.61% 20
Packaging (Printed Papers and Containers)	36.36% 12
Smoke detectors	36.36% 12
Sharps	57.58% 19

Tires	84.85%	28
Batteries (Alkaline)	54.55%	18
<b>Total Respondents: 33</b>		

**Q9 State Agency Waste Diversion** On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.

Answered: 36 Skipped: 1







	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Increased training on existing state guidance on recycled-content and life cycle analysis procurement	0.00% 0	2.78% 1	11.11% 4	38.89% 14	47.22% 17	36
Institute cut it and leave internal policy for state agencies	2.78% 1	2.78% 1	38.89% 14	25.00% 9	30.56% 11	36
Institute internal requirement for state agency composting of yard trimmings and leaves	0.00% 0	5.71% 2	17.14% 6	31.43% 11	45.71% 16	35
Encourage university students to engage campus in food court and landscaping composting	0.00% 0	2.86% 1	22.86% 8	31.43% 11	42.86% 15	35

Requirement for life cycle analysis to be part of all state IFBs/RFPs	<b>2.86%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>28.57%</b> 10	<b>48.57%</b> 17	<b>20.00%</b> 7	35
Modify state contracts to require packaging/recycled item take back	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>25.00%</b> 9	<b>41.67%</b> 15	<b>33.33%</b> 12	36

**Q10 Landfill Bans** On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.

Answered: 36 Skipped: 1






	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Green/Yard Waste Ban	8.33% 3	11.11% 4	19.44% 7	33.33% 12	27.78% 10	36
C&D Ban on Certain Items	5.71% 2	11.43% 4	8.57% 3	40.00% 14	34.29% 12	35
Food Waste Ban	11.11% 4	16.67% 6	13.89% 5	27.78% 10	30.56% 11	36
Cardboard Ban	8.33% 3	5.56% 2	11.11% 4	19.44% 7	55.56% 20	36
Paper Ban	8.33% 3	11.11% 4	13.89% 5	25.00% 9	41.67% 15	36



**Q11 In pursuing state-level bans on certain materials, if different pieces of legislation needed to be staged, please rank from 1 to 5, with 1 being the material to target first, ranking the order in which you would support introductions.**


Answered: 33 Skipped: 4



	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Score
Green/Yard Waste	12.12% 4	18.18% 6	36.36% 12	24.24% 8	9.09% 3	33	3.00
C&D Items	31.25% 10	3.13% 1	21.88% 7	15.63% 5	28.13% 9	32	2.94
Food Waste	9.38% 3	12.50% 4	18.75% 6	34.38% 11	25.00% 8	32	2.47
Cardboard	48.48% 16	27.27% 9	3.03% 1	15.15% 5	6.06% 2	33	3.97
Paper	0.00% 0	40.63% 13	18.75% 6	9.38% 3	31.25% 10	32	2.69

**Q12 Measuring Recycling** On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



	<b>1 - Not Supportive</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3 - Neutral</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5 - Very Supportive</b>	<b>Total</b>
Measurement in Diversion Standards Using Current Methodology Based on EPA Standards	<b>2.86%</b> 1	<b>8.57%</b> 3	<b>42.86%</b> 15	<b>34.29%</b> 12	<b>11.43%</b> 4	35
Expand Definition of Items to Be Counted as Diversion	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>5.71%</b> 2	<b>25.71%</b> 9	<b>42.86%</b> 15	<b>25.71%</b> 9	35
Set a Per Capita or General Waste Disposal Reduction Goal	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.78%</b> 1	<b>30.56%</b> 11	<b>30.56%</b> 11	<b>36.11%</b> 13	36

**Q13 No matter which standard is used, the target year for success, be it 50% diversion or a 50% waste disposal reduction goal, needs to be set. What seems like a reasonable amount of time to reach that goal? Please circle one response.**


Answered: 34 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses
10 years	20.59% 7
15 years	32.35% 11
20 years	38.24% 13
25 years	8.82% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>

**Q14 Funding Recycling** On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Recycling Fee (charges a very small percentage on defined retail sales transactions)	2.86% 1	2.86% 1	17.14% 6	42.86% 15	34.29% 12	35
Variable Landfill Disposal Surcharge (aka Tip Fee)	11.43% 4	8.57% 3	37.14% 13	25.71% 9	17.14% 6	35

**Q15 Do you support a certain percentage, e.g. 25%, of all collected funds, no matter the funding mechanism, to return back to the community for use in eligible solid waste and recycling activities? Please circle one answer.**


Answered: 35 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	91.43% 32
No	8.57% 3
Total	35

**Q16 Do you support a certain percentage, e.g. 25%, of all collected funds to be used to cover the cost o the NM Environment Department: Solid Waste Bureau to provide solid waste related services, of which now are paid through General Funds? Please circle one answer.**


Answered: 34 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	73.53%	25
No	26.47%	9
<b>Total</b>		<b>34</b>

**Q17 Final Strategies to Implement Strategies**  
**On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 reflecting not supportive and 5 representing very supportive, please select the ONE number that represents how you feel about the state-level strategy outlined.**

Answered: 34 Skipped: 3



	1 - Not Supportive	2	3 - Neutral	4	5 - Very Supportive	Total
Use state-level policy to increase recycling	0.00% 0	3.13% 1	28.13% 9	43.75% 14	25.00% 8	32
Use a combination of state-level policy with a Menu of Choices for Local Communities	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9.09% 3	36.36% 12	54.55% 18	33